

9.7.97 TM Expo: Consciousness.

I'm going to discuss consciousness from the world outside. Three kinds of problems associated with what we call "consciousness".

The first, is the problem of defining it. Quite often ~~keep people discuss~~ consciousness without different people often have quite different ideas as to what they mean by consciousness. Discussion of consciousness between people. It is not surprising that people would fail to agree on conclusions about things that are quite different from one another.

One way to think about consciousness is to consider its having certain components. Different people have different ideas as to what the components are — or if they agree on the components they can disagree as to the relative importance of each.

I will list ⁴ several aspects of consciousness that many people include in their personal definition of it. These four are by no means exhaustive; Many people include quite different behavior patterns in their conception of what "consciousness" is.

The second problem involves robots: To what extent can we design robots that have these qualities?

The third problem is a political/ethical problem: If we have robots with these qualities, to what extent should we regard them as human? — To what extent do they have the rights and privileges that we have learned to associate with humans?

To start with — a definition: The four aspects of consciousness I'll discuss are

3. ~~knowledge~~: Concept of Self.

knowledge

4. Awareness of a world outside its own mind and knowledge in that world attempting to solve its own problems!

1. The Unconscious mind

2 poss. many sublevels v.g. sleeping
hard to get at from outside.

2. Quale.

The first two properties are common in many machines. The second two properties develop themselves in machines that are given suitably difficult problems.

① self
Self defense
Humor or
~~intelligence~~
World outside
own mind's
Attempts to
world's interact with
its solvability.

②

Unconscious.

④ Quale

"Politics of Consciousness"
"If it can manipulate
it human rights"
~ prob w. Animals.

③

That this is a most
peculiar
(= Academic). —
by + humanizes us
it will be decided
by force.

exploit that
ability to manipulate
exploitation of that knowledge in
solving its own problem.

large, complex

9.11.97 TM-Expo : Consciousness.

w.r.t. Some of these 4 qualities! To what extent do various things have them?

- 2
a) man b) non-human Animals c) bacteria d) plants.
d') corporations (In many ways, treated, finitely, like "Persons")
e) Committees, Organizations (USA Army, NASA, NSA, FBI, CIA; Physics Community; Biology Community...))
f) Nations
g) Earth (Giz Hypothesis): Australian ~~subcontinent~~ subcontinent (some bits ~~are~~ isolated)

On Empathy: This is an impt. quality of an object determining to what extent a human will regard it as living or non-living. It is a ability of humans to model behavior of entity in itself (human) is so successful probly. Probly. It doesn't have to be very successful to qualify, hvr. Humans are able to empathize w. animals, plants, automobiles, & many not very intelligent computer progs!

Empathy is impt. in determining how "human" people are willing to consider a robot.

9.27.97 New Track:

01997 (I may have written on this already): T. idea is that there is a problem in defining "Uncan Mind" as a Gray Cor (multidimensional or complexity metric) ~~is~~/fuzzy concept — Having to do w. how diff'l. Prog. ideas are for an outside observer to get them.

T. problem is that a person might say "I'm very conscious of certain ideas but I just want to keep them private" — so an outsider would have lots of trouble getting at that info.

Well, to deal w. this we must postulate a part of t. ego (person) that he may want to keep private. This private part can result in iso, and it can also result in an idea of "free will" — i.e., The person, making decisions based on his "private self" will behave in an unpredictable way, to outsiders who are not privy to this "private self". So this unpredictable "privateself" is where t. "free will" is.

On the other hand: What is "Determinism"? Not so clear. Physicists hate this Laplacian idea that if they knew t. initial cond's in the "Laws of physics" they could predict everything ("in principle"). W. Q.M. ideas, hvr, they don't think that anymore. What they do think now about determinism — I don't know.

Even w./ ~~no~~ Laplacian model! "T. initial cond's" seem to post. T. past is often quite difficult to ascertain — not always as hard/diff't as the future — but certainly very diff't for initial cond's that are distributed space & time. So obtaining t. "init cond's" can be of diff'ng "similar diff'ty" to predicting t. future from present data.

O 1997 TM-EXPO Consciousness.

The ideas of Consciousness; its components; are always dependant upon the state of technology. Our present ideas stem mainly from a low tech culture. As we introduce new technological possibilities, the old concepts of consciousness (i.e. "components" of consciousness) — saying of them becoming meaningless or having to be much modified to retain any utility at all.

R. J. SOLOMONOFF

PHYSICIST

26 BOYLSTON STREET
CAMBRIDGE, MASS. 02138

3

Some kinds of actual &/o hypothetical technologies that would seriously erode our concepts of consciousness, & ~~make~~ a/c other correct concepts

1) If we could duplicate a human w. fair accuracy — Then if "secret self" of one of them would no longer be a ~~real~~ secret. The other individual may have a somewhat different idea as to what he wants to keep secret from us.
rest of the world,

2) If we have /^{very} intelligent machines: What does "murder" mean? If we backup robots to another memory before destroying him, is this really "murder"? — We can reproduce him exactly if we like, at any time.

X•